

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/538,916	06/13/2005	Fumio Nomura	050337	8854
	7590 09/24/2007 JTOS & HANSON, LLP	EXAMINER		
1420 K Street,			FOSTER, CHRISTINE E	
Suite 400 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1641	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/24/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
·	10/538,916	NOMURA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Christine Foster	1641			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
Period for Reply	ALC CET TO EVEIDE 4 MONTH	I/C) OD TUUDTY (20) DAYO			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be the string and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 De	ecember 2006.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims	•				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-21</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-21</u> are subject to restriction	and/or alastian requirement				
o) Claim(s) 1-3 and 3-21 are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	,			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
The dath of declaration is objected to by the Ex	ammer. Note the attached Offic	e Action of form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	4				
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
dee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
	•				
Attaches and A					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar	w (PTO_413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail [Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-3, 5-6, and 17-18, drawn to marker proteins.

Group II, claim(s) 7-16, drawn to diagnostic methods.

Group III, claim(s) 19-21, drawn to method for measuring a protein using an antibody.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

According to PCT Rule 13.2, unity of invention exists only when the shared same or corresponding technical feature is a contribution over the prior art. The inventions listed as Groups I-III above do not relate to a single general inventive concept because they lack the same or corresponding special technical feature.

The technical feature of Group I is that of a marker protein for diagnosing liver disease, which may be a human fibrinogen α -E chain decomposition producing having a molecular weight of 5.8 kDa (see claims 1-2 and 17), an apolipoprotein AII decomposition product having a molecular weight of 7.8 kDa (see claims 1, 3, and 18), or variants of these proteins which have the same function as a marker for diagnosing liver disease.

Art Unit: 1641

Bedossa et al. ("Apolipoprotein AI is a serum and tissue marker of liver fibrosis in alcoholic patients" Alcohol Clin Exp Res. 1989 Dec;13(6):829-33, of record) teach that apolipoprotein A1 protein is a marker of liver fibroisis in humans (see especially the title and abstract). Apolipoprotein A1 has a molecular weight of 28 kDa as recited in claim 19 as evidenced by the instant specification at page 9, for example. The apolipoprotein A1 protein taught by Bedossa et al. would also be considered to be a "variant" of those proteins recited in claim 1 in the absence of a specific or limiting definition for this term, for example in that it is another apolipoprotein, and because it also functions as a marker protein for diagnosing the liver disease of liver fibrosis.

Therefore, the technical feature linking the inventions of Groups I-III does not constitute a special technical feature as defined by PCT Rule 13.2, as it does not define a contribution over the prior art.

In addition, Groups I-III each have technical features that are unrelated to the other groups. Group I is directed to a marker proteins *per se*, while Group II is a method for diagnosing the probability of the onset of a liver disease, the liver disease or the prognosis of the liver disease by detecting or quantifying the marker protein in a patient. Group III is directed to a measurement method and does not require diagnosis or prognosis as in Group II.

Accordingly, Groups I-III are not linked by the same or a corresponding special technical feature so as to form a single general inventive concept.

Election of Species

3. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

In the event that <u>Groups I or II</u> are elected, the following election is required.

Marker protein (elect one of the following)

- a. SEQ ID NO:1 (see claims 2 and 17)
- b. SEQ ID NO:2 (see claims 3 and 18)

In the event that <u>Group III</u> is elected, the following election is required.

Marker protein (elect one of the following)

- a. SEQ ID NO:1
- b. SEQ ID NO:2
- c. Apolipoprotein A1 having a molecular weight of 28 kDa (see claim 19)

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

Application/Control Number: 10/538,916

Art Unit: 1641

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

2. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

For Group I, claims 1 and 5-6 appear to be generic. Claims 2-3 and 17-18 are subject to
species election.

For Group II, claims 7-16 appear to be generic.

For Group III, claims 19-21 appear to be generic.

3. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Although the proteins share the technical feature of being a marker protein for liver disease, this technical feature does not represent a contribution over the prior art, being taught in Bedossa et al. as discussed above. Furthermore, each species corresponds to a distinct protein that varies in size and composition and which possesses different structures and amino acid sequences from the others. Therefore, each of these unique molecules are patentably distinct.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Application/Control Number: 10/538,916

Art Unit: 1641

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Notice of Possible Rejoinder

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all

Application/Control Number: 10/538,916

Art Unit: 1641

claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christine Foster whose telephone number is (571) 272-8786. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long Le can be reached at (571) 272-0823. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Cfush

Christine Foster, Ph.D. Patent Examiner Art Unit 1641

LONG V. LE 09/13/ SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Page 7

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600